



INDIANA ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Form 53475 (R3 / 1-11)

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Pollution Prevention and Technical Assistance
MC 64-00, Room IGCS W041
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2251
Telephone: (800) 988-7901
FAX: (317) 233-5627
E-mail: esp@idem.IN.gov

Please use this form if you are a member of the Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program (ESP) to report on progress toward objectives and targets AND certify ESP requirements continue to be achieved. Indiana ESP facilities must submit an Annual Performance Report (APR) by April 1st of every year, for each calendar year in which the entity has been a member for at least three (3) full months. Section C of your APR should be signed by your ISO 14001:2004 EMS Lead Auditor. Your APR should be reviewed and signed by a senior manager at your facility prior to submittal. Once signed, e-mail the APR to IDEM at esp@idem.IN.gov. Please do not include any confidential business information in your annual performance report. Public access laws require IDEM to make the APR publicly available, which may include posting all portions of your report on the Indiana ESP Web site. If you have any questions, please contact IDEM at esp@idem.IN.gov or (800) 988-7901.

SECTION A		FACILITY INFORMATION	
Name of facility		Camcar LLC	
Name of parent company (If applicable)		Acument Global Technologies	
Street address (number and street)		4366 N Old US Hwy 31	
City / State / ZIP code		Rochester, IN 46975	
Web site of Facility/Company		www.acument.com	
		CONTACT INFORMATION	
Name of Contact (Mr. / Mrs. / Ms. / Dr.)		Ms. Jill Wood	
Title		EH&S Rep	
Telephone number		574-223-9384	
FAX number		574-223-1238	
E-mail address		jwood@acument.com	
Mailing address (if different from facility address)			
City / State / ZIP Code			
		REPORTING PERIOD	
Reporting period dates (month, day, year)		Baseline year 2012, future year 2013	
1a. Is this the third Annual Performance Report of your membership term?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes—If yes, answer question 1b. <input type="checkbox"/> No—If no, skip to the "Change in Information" section of this report.	
1b. Do you wish to renew your Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program membership?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes—If yes, please complete all sections of this annual report. <input type="checkbox"/> No—If no, please complete all sections of this annual report except for Section F.	
		CHANGE IN INFORMATION	
In your ESP application and, perhaps, in previous annual performance reports, you described what your facility does or makes. Have there been any changes or additions to your facility's list of products or activities?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes—If yes, please describe them: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
SECTION B		PUBLIC OUTREACH AND PERFORMANCE REPORTING	
Why do we need this information? IDEM needs to know how environmental information was shared with the public.		What do you need to do? Describe how the facility has shared and plans to share environmental information.	
Please briefly describe the activities that your facility conducted during this reporting period to interact with the community on environmental issues and to report publicly on its environmental performance. Fire Dept. toured our plant, Plant Emergency Manual to LEPC, Fire Dept., & Spill Companies			
Please indicate which of the following methods your facility plans to use to make its ESP Annual Performance Report available to the public. Please check as many as appropriate.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Web site (http://www.acument.com) <input type="checkbox"/> Open house <input type="checkbox"/> Meetings <input type="checkbox"/> Press releases <input type="checkbox"/> Other			

SECTION C

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ASSESSMENT

Why do we need this information?

Facilities need to have implemented an EMS that meets certain criteria and use an ISO 14001:2004 EMS Lead Auditor at least every 36 months to assess the EMS.

What do you need to do?

Answer the following questions about your EMS.

1. What is the most recent date that an ISO 14001:2004 EMS Lead Auditor performed an EMS assessment at your facility? 11/7/12-11/9/12

2. Is the date of the most recent EMS assessment performed by an ISO 14001:2004 EMS Lead Auditor within the past 36 months?

☒ Yes—If yes, skip to Question 3.

☐ No—If no, please have your ISO 14001:2004 EMS Lead Auditor complete and sign the following checklist, indicating whether or not your EMS meets the listed criteria for ESP membership:

- | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Evidence of senior management support, commitment, and approval. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | A written environmental policy directed toward compliance, pollution prevention, and continuous improvement. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Identification of the environmental aspects at the entity. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Prioritization of the environmental aspects and a determination of those aspects deemed significant considering, at the minimum, environmental impacts and applicable laws and regulations. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Established priorities, and environmental objectives and targets for continuous improvement in environmental performance and for ensuring compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and permit conditions. Objectives and targets must go beyond current legal requirements and specify the environmental media, types of pollution to be prevented or reduced, implementation activities, and projected time frames. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | An established community outreach mechanism that includes identifying and responding to community concerns; informing the community of important matters that affect the community; and reporting on the EMS, including reporting to the public on the environmental policy and significant aspects. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Incorporation of environmental and pollution prevention planning in the development of new products, processes, and services and modifications of existing processes. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Evidence of clear responsibility for implementation, training, monitoring, EMS maintenance, taking corrective action, and ensuring compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and permit conditions. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Documentation of the implementation procedures and the results of implementation. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Appropriate written EMS procedures. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | An annual evaluation of the EMS with written results provided to senior management and affected employees. |

Signature of ISO 14001:2004 EMS Lead Auditor

Date (month, day, year)

3. Were any deficiencies found during the most recent EMS assessment?

☐ No—If no, skip to Question 4.

☒ Yes—If yes, describe any deficiencies found and the corrective action taken to address each deficiency: We had 3 minor non-conformities, OFI's not handled according to EP-004, an obsolete Corp. procedure was referenced in EP-004, & the audit schedule agenda did not address the environmental importance of activities. EP-004 was revised clarifying the handling of OFI's, the Corp. procedure was changed & audit schedule revised.

4. Name, title, and organization of ISO 14001:2004 EMS Lead Auditor that conducted the most recent EMS assessment: Rick Gehrke/ Lead Auditor/Intertek

5. What type of protocol was used to perform the independent EMS assessment?

- ☒ ISO 14001:2004 Certified audit
☐ Responsible Care EMS audit
☐ Responsible Care 14001 audit
☐ ESP Independent Assessment Protocol
☐ Other (please specify):

6. Is the EMS certified to a recognized standard?

☒ Yes—If yes, what standard does the EMS follow (please provide a copy of the most recent certificate)?

- ☒ ISO 14001:2004
☐ Responsible Care EMS
☐ Responsible Care 14001

☐ No.

7. When was the last Senior Management review of your EMS completed?

Month / Year: 2/13

Who headed the review (name and title)? Tim Moore (Plant Manager)

8. When did your facility last conduct an internal or corporate environmental compliance audit? Do not include inspections or site visits by regulatory organizations.
 Scope of the compliance audit: Compliance with Federal and State Regulations
 Month(s) / Year(s): 4/12 and 9/12
 Who conducted the audit(s) (e.g., facility staff, corporate, third party)? In April-IDEM conducted a Compliance Audit, In Sept. - Corp.

9. Explain the emergencies experienced within the facility during the past year. Were the applicable emergency and contingency plans detailed in the EMS effective? What changes, if any, have been made to your facility's emergency or contingency plans?
No environmental emergencies. Drills (fire, tornado, & spill) were conducted as planned. Plt. Emergency revised-copies to LEPC, Fire Dept. and Spill Companies

10. Has your facility corrected all instances of potential environmental non-compliance and EMS non-conformance identified during your audits and other assessments?
☒ Yes—If yes, briefly summarize corrective actions taken and other improvements made as a result of your EMS assessment(s) or compliance audit(s).
EP-004 was revised clarifying the handling of OFI's & reference to the Corp. procedure # was corrected, audit schedule revised
☐ No—If no, please explain your plans to correct these instances. ☐ No such instances identified.

11. (Optional) Please provide a narrative summary of progress made toward EMS objectives and targets other than those reported as an Environmental Performance Initiative in Section E. You may limit the summary to environmental aspects that are *significant* and towards which *progress* has been made during the last calendar year. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Environmental aspect	Progress made this year (e.g., quantitative or qualitative improvements, activities conducted)

SECTION D ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Why do we need this information?
 This information will help IDEM to effectively manage the Environmental Stewardship Program.

What do you need to do?
 Answer the questions as completely as possible.

1. In addition to ESP, please list environmental awards received or voluntary programs participated in during the past twelve months.
We did not receive any environmental awards. Currently our plant is in the ESP and INSHARP programs

2. Has your facility taken advantage of any ESP incentives? If so, please describe the implementation process and list additional benefits IDEM should consider.
To date we have not received any incentives-last inspection in 2011(no advance notification and inspector didn't know we were in ESP

3. If your facility was not registered to the ISO 14001 standard prior to becoming an ESP member, has ESP helped you to pursue registration? If so, how has ESP been instrumental in achieving registration?
We were registered for many years before pursuing the ESP membership.

SECTION E ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT INITIATIVE RESULTS

Why do we need this information?
 Facilities need to share the results of the environmental improvement initiative that was pursued during the reporting period.

What do you need to do?
 Summarize your facility's progress on achieving the initiative you identified in the application or last year's APR.

Category: <u>Water Use</u> Indicator: <u>Total water used</u>	Baseline Quantity	Future Goal Quantity	Current Quantity	Cost Savings
Calendar year	2011	2012	2012 actual	We did not meet the goal. The University failed to send anyone on site to our plant even after several requests.
Actual quantity (per year)	2,125,000 gallons	1,912,500 gallons	2,893,500 gallons	
Normalized quantity (per year)				
Basis for your normalizing factor (e.g., gallons of paint produced)				
Measurement unit (e.g., pounds)				

Briefly describe how you achieved improvements for this environmental initiative or, if relevant, any circumstances that delayed progress.
We were trying to work with Purdue University but we could not get anyone from the university to come back to our plant after the initial visit-we had to close it

Please list any state, U.S. EPA, or other partnership programs to which you are reporting this data (e.g., Energy Star, Project XL).
N/A

(Optional) If your facility has experienced continued results for environmental improvement initiatives pursued in past years of ESP membership, please share those results here.

SECTION F

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT INITIATIVE

Why do we need this information?

Facilities need to show they are committed to improving their environmental performance.

What do you need to do?

Refer to the Environmental Performance Table and answer the following questions.

1. Select the appropriate boxes in the following table to indicate the **category** and **indicator(s)** that represents the environmental improvement initiative selected by your facility. For the category and indicator selected, list the **baseline year** (e.g., 2009) and the **future year** (e.g., 2010). Next, list the **baseline annual quantity** (e.g., 5 tons) and **future annual quantity** (e.g., 2 tons) you are committing to achieve by the end of the future year.

Category	Indicator	Baseline Year 20__12__	Future Year 20__13__	Unit
<input type="checkbox"/> Material Procurement	<input type="checkbox"/> Recycled content			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazardous/toxic components			Pounds, tons
<input type="checkbox"/> Suppliers' Environmental Performance	<input type="checkbox"/> Specify indicator: _____			As specified for the particular indicator
<input type="checkbox"/> Material Use	<input type="checkbox"/> Materials used			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazardous materials used			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Ozone depleting substances used			CFC-11 equivalent pounds
	<input type="checkbox"/> Total packaging materials used			Pounds, tons
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Use	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Total water used	2,893,500 gallons	98,550 g per toilet	Gallons
<input type="checkbox"/> Energy Use	<input type="checkbox"/> Electricity		per IDEM assessment	kWh / MWh, Btu / MMBtu
	<input type="checkbox"/> Steam			kWh / MWh, gallons, ft ³
	<input type="checkbox"/> Natural gas			Btu / MMBtu
	<input type="checkbox"/> Diesel			Gallons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Propane / LPG			Btu / MMBtu, gallons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Gasoline			Gallons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Solar			kWh / MWh
	<input type="checkbox"/> Wind			kWh / MWh
	<input type="checkbox"/> Landfill gas			Btu / MMBtu
	<input type="checkbox"/> Combined heat and power			kWh / MWh, Btu / MMBtu
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____			_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Land and Habitat	<input type="checkbox"/> Land and habitat conservation			Square feet, acres
	<input type="checkbox"/> Community land revitalization			Square feet, acres
<input type="checkbox"/> Air Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/> Total GHGs			MTCO ₂ E
	<input type="checkbox"/> VOCs			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> NO _x , SO _x , PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , or CO			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Air toxics			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Odor			European Odour Units
	<input type="checkbox"/> Radiation			Curies, Becquerels
	<input type="checkbox"/> Dust			Pounds, tons
<input type="checkbox"/> Discharges to Water	<input type="checkbox"/> COD or BOD			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Toxics			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Total suspended solids			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Nutrients			Pounds, tons of N or P
	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment from runoff			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pathogens			MPN/ml, CFU/ml
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-hazardous Waste	<input type="checkbox"/> Landfill			Pounds, tons
<input type="checkbox"/> Hazardous Waste	<input type="checkbox"/> Incineration			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Reused/recycled off-site			Pounds, tons, gallons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____			Pounds, tons, gallons
<input type="checkbox"/> Noise	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise			dBA
<input type="checkbox"/> Vibration	<input type="checkbox"/> Vibration			Inches per second
<input type="checkbox"/> Products	<input type="checkbox"/> Expected lifetime energy use			kWh / MWh, Btu / MMBtu
	<input type="checkbox"/> Expected lifetime water use			Gallons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Expected lifetime waste to air, water, or land from product use			Pounds, tons
	<input type="checkbox"/> Waste to air, water, or land from disposal or recovery			Pounds, tons

2. What activities or process changes do you plan to undertake at your facility to accomplish your initiative (e.g., technology changes in a particular process line, employee training)? To install low flow or high efficiency push button toilets with an estimated savings of 98,550 gallons or \$113,333/year per toilet with a capital investment of \$1,000.00 (IDEM Pollution Prevention Assessment recommendation) Cost up to install from 2010.
3. Does this initiative address a significant aspect in your EMS?

☐ Yes

☒ No—If no, please explain why you believe this indicator should be included as an environmental improvement initiative: We have not

completed an environmental objective addressing water usage to date.

CERTIFICATION AND PLEDGE

On behalf of (name of facility) Acument Global Technologies, Camcar LLC, Rochester Operations

I certify that the information contained in this Annual Performance Report and attachments is accurate to the best of my knowledge and that this facility is, to the best of my knowledge and based on reasonable inquiry, currently in compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental requirements, or has a corrective action program in place to attain compliance.

We, Acument Global Technologies, Camcar LLC, commit to maintaining the principles and goals outlined in our Environmental Management System for our facility's Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program status. We agree to strive for full compliance with all regulations promulgated by the U.S. EPA, state, or local jurisdictions. We agree to promote the Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program and to share our success stories with other facilities. We understand that the Annual Performance Report must be submitted to IDEM by April 1st of each year and that we must reapply to the Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program every three years.

I understand that the information provided in this Annual Performance Report will be public record. I am the senior facility manager or authorized facility signatory, and fully authorized to execute this statement on behalf of the corporation or other legal entity whose facility is submitting this Annual Performance Report.

Signature <u>Tim Moore</u>	Title Plant Manager	Date (month, day, year) 03/07/13
Printed signature <u>Tim Moore</u>		

Rochester Operations

Objectives Targets and Environmental Management Programs

Objective: To recycle the quench oil with a goal of at least 40% recovery of oil to be re-used by 12/31/13

Program Name/Number: EMP-49

Target: To recycle the quench oil with a goal of at least 40% recovery of oil to be re-used by 12/31/13

Champion(s): Cecil Enyart

Date: 2/6/13

Associated Aspects: # 136

Task	Task ID	Responsible Party	Schedule	Performance Monitoring	Key Characteristics/Operational Controls/Comments
Web-ex and call set up to determine what is needed to start recycling	1	Corp. Supply Chain	Completed 5/25/12	Cost savings and Waste reduction Goal 40% recovery	Cost savings and Waste reduction Goal 40% recovery
Re-route the piping for the quench oil skimmer tank	2	Enyart	Approximately 90% complete as of 6/5/12	Cost savings and Waste reduction Goal 40% recovery	Cost savings and Waste reduction Goal 40% recovery
Requested quotes from Midwest & Craig Welding for containment pans for the totes	3	Boyd	Quote received from Craig Welding 6/5/12- still waiting on Midwest 6/20/12-Containment pans ordered 6/20/2012	Cost savings and Waste reduction Goal 40% recovery	Cost savings and Waste reduction Goal 40% recovery
Containments in place with 5 totes to collect the quench oil- started collecting 7/30/12	4	Enyart	Containments in place-collecting oil 7/30/12-complete	Cost savings and Waste reduction Goal 40% recovery	Cost savings and Waste reduction Goal 40% recovery
3 totes of oil collected-Purified scheduled to be on site to filter the oil	5	Wood	Scheduled 9/13/12	\$2.00/gallon + \$200.00 transportation vs. 6.74 per gallon virgin-Goal 40% recovery. Had issues with water in the totes & ended up with 330 gallons. We were charged for the 750 min gallons (\$1700.00 for a \$5.15/gallon vs. 6.74/gallon new oil for \$1.59 per gallon savings totaling \$524.70)	\$2.00/gallon + \$200.00 transportation vs. 6.74 per gallon virgin-Goal 40% recovery. Had issues with water in the totes & ended for the 750 min gallons (\$1700.00 for a \$5.15/gallon vs. 6.74/gallon new oil for \$1.59 per gallon savings totaling \$524.70)

Rochester Operations

Objectives Targets and Environmental Management Programs

The totes for the quench oil recycling need to have automatic shut off valves instead of relying on the employee to turn the valve & catch it before the contents runs over the top of the tote.	6	Enyart	Work Order written 9/7/12 10/31/12- 2 floats installed-other floats in and need to be installed. 1/14/13- all totes have the floats installed.	Added as a precaution to prevent the oil from spilling out over the top of the tote impacting the environment and to protect the employees	Added as a precaution to prevent the oil from spilling out over the top of the tote impacting the environment and to protect the employees
Purified was called in to filter the oil	7	Wood	Scheduled 12/10/2012 Picked up 600 gallons	We were charged for the 750 min gallons (\$1700.0 for a \$2.83/gallon vs. \$6.74/gallon new oil for a \$3.91 per gallon savings totaling \$2,346.0) We ended up with 600 gallons	We were charged for the 750 min gallons (\$1700.0 for a \$2.83/gallon vs. \$6.74/gallon new oil for a \$3.91 per gallon savings totaling \$2,346.0) We ended up with 600 gallons
Purified was called in to filter the oil	8	Wood	Scheduled 2/6/2013 Picked up 150 gallons	We were charged for the 750 min gallons (\$1700.0 for a \$11.33/gallon vs. \$6.74/gallon new oil for a loss of \$4.59 per gallon We ended up with 150 gallons total	We were charged for the 750 min gallons (\$1700.0 for a \$11.33/gallon vs. \$6.74/gallon new oil for a loss of \$4.59 per gallon We ended up with 150 gallons total
Evaluating the use of sump pumps to remove the excess water from the bottom of the totes	9	Richards/Enyart	Obtaining prices for sump pumps 2/6/2013		

Revision Date Description

2/16/2007 Removed TFS from header
5/25/2012 Added #1
6/5/2012 Added # 2 & 3
6/20/2012 Updated # 3
7/30/2012 Added #4
9/13/2012 Added #5 & #6
10/31/2012 Updated # 6
12/10/2012 Added #7
1/14/2013 Updated #6

Revised: 02/16/07

Rochester Operations

Objectives Targets and Environmental Management Programs

2/6/2013 Added #8 and #9 extended the date to 12/31/13

Rochester Operations

Objectives Targets and Environmental Management Programs

Objective: To identify energy conservation projects to be completed for the plant and offices resulting in less energy used and cost savings by 12/31/13.

Program Name/Number: EMP-46

Target: To identify energy conservation projects to be completed for the plant and offices resulting in less energy used and cost savings by 12/31/13.

Champion(s): Gary Green

Associated Aspects: # 37

Date: 1/31/13

Task	Task ID	Responsible Party	Schedule	Performance Monitoring	Key Characteristics/Operational Controls/Comments
To convert the lights in the shop restrooms to an auto switch which would shut off the lights with 30 minutes of no activity	1	Maintenance Dept.	11/7/2011 South restrooms completed-photo eyes added. 2/28/12- The north restrooms will be targeted for April. Update: North restrooms completed 3/11/12	Cost savings per the DOE calculations of \$200.00 per year.	Cost savings per the DOE calculations of \$200.00 per year.
Installing an auto sensor for the lights in the Mfg. Office	2	Maintenance Dept.	Gary Green 2/28/12-Completed		
Installing LED lights in the new Training Room	3	Maintenance Dept.	Completed 1/15/2012	Uses 37% less energy than a fluorescent bulb with a cost savings of \$260.42 per month-see spreadsheet	Uses 37% less energy than a fluorescent bulb with a cost savings of \$260.42 per month-see spreadsheet

Rochester Operations

Objectives Targets and Environmental Management Programs

<p>Identifying and reducing the number of air leaks in the plant-need a plan for notification will send e-mail to WGL's in specific dept.'s</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>WGL's & Maintenance</p>	<p>2/28/12-Evaluating air solenoids 4/16/12-Now have 2 quotes (Best Aire & Balance ranging from \$5,000-\$14,000)-asked for direction from Corp. 6/8/12-This was added to the action item list for Supply Chain 8/23/12-Balance re quoted as requested by Supply Chain. The quote came down \$700.00. This quote was approved by Supply Chain and Plant Manager said to move forward with the project. 9/11/12- Jill will request a date from the Supv.'s with a 12 hr window without any production and decide on a date for the opening meeting on the project. 9/21/12-Opening Conference with Balance Eng. with decision to try for 10/7/12 as the date for all equipment to be shut down. 9/28/12-All loggers installed as requested 10/20/12-All loggers removed and sent back to Balance Eng. 12/1/12-Report received from Balance Engineering with 115 air leaks identified. As of this date 22 air leaks have been repaired by the Maintenance Dept. 1/7/13-As of this date 29 air leaks have been repaired by the Maintenance Dept. As of 1/31/13-41 air leaks have been repaired by Maintenance</p>	<p>Cost savings-energy reduction</p>	<p>Cost savings-energy reduction</p>
<p>Installing an auto sensor for the lights in the oil room</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>Maintenance Dept.</p>	<p>2/28/12-Target June 3/21/12-Completed</p>	<p>Cost savings-energy reduction</p>	<p>Cost savings-energy reduction</p>
<p>Installing an auto sensor for the lights in the Shipping Office</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>Maintenance Dept.</p>	<p>2/28/12-Target August 6/8/12-Sensors need to be ordered 8/20/12-Motion sensors installed-completed</p>	<p>Cost savings-energy reduction</p>	<p>Cost savings-energy reduction</p>

Rochester Operations

Objectives Targets and Environmental Management Programs

Installing LED lights in the office & plant	7	Maintenance Dept.	5/31/12- Ralph of Smart Solutions in to conduct a lighting audit 9/11/12-Corp stated Rochester might be the pilot plant for installation of new lights in plant and office & Dilling quoted project	Cost savings-energy reduction	Cost savings-energy reduction
Install sensors in the Office Restrooms	8	Maintenance Dept.	Target: September Motion sensors ordered 8/21/12 11/5/12-Sensors installed-complete	Cost savings-energy reduction	Cost savings-energy reduction
Installing sensors in the Maintenance Office	9	Maintenance Dept.	Target: September 8/20/12-Motion sensors installed in the Maintenance office-completed	Cost savings-energy reduction	Cost savings-energy reduction
Install new air compressor to increase efficiencies	10	Maintenance Dept.	1/7/13-Target: February	Cost savings-energy reduction	Cost savings-energy reduction

Record of Revisions

Revision Date Description

2/16/2007 Removed TFS from header

1/15/2012 Added 1-4

2/28/2012 Added 5-8, closed out #2, added target date for #1 and comment for #4

3/12/2012 Added update for #1

3/21/2012 Completed 3/21/12

3/28/2012 Updated #4

4/16/2012 Updated #4

Revised: 02/16/07

Objectives Targets and Environmental Management Programs

6/8/2012 Updated # 4 & #6& #7 & added #8 & #9
8/21/2012 Completed #6 & #9 and updated #8
8/23/2012 Updated #4
9/11/2012 Updated #4 & #7
9/21/2012 Updated #4
9/28/2012 Updated #4
10/20/2012 Updated #4
11/5/2012 Updated #8
12/11/2012 Updated #4
1/7/2013 Updated #4 and added #10
1/31/2013 Updated #4